

Virtual levels and virtual states of linear operators
in Banach spaces
with Andrew Comech

Nabile Boussaïd

(Lm^B)

Université de Franche-Comté

TexAMP 2023/24
Texas A&M University
February 9–11, 2024

The limiting absorption principle

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and A a self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{H}

$$\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}.$$

Let \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{F} be Banach spaces such that:

$$\mathbf{E} \xhookrightarrow{i} \mathcal{H} \xhookrightarrow{j} \mathbf{F}.$$

The limiting absorption principle (LAP) for A holds at $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$ means that

$$\text{w-lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} (A - \lambda - i\epsilon)^{-1}$$

exists in (weak operator topology of) $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$.

LAP and spectrum

Let $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$. If $\phi \in \mathbf{E}$ is such that $A\phi = \lambda\phi$ then for $\epsilon > 0$

$$(A - \lambda - i\epsilon)^{-1}\phi = \frac{i}{\epsilon}\phi.$$

If the LAP holds for A at λ then $\phi = 0$.

Assume LAP holds for A at λ . Let $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{E})$ then if B is small enough

$$I_{\mathbf{E}} + B(A - \lambda - i\epsilon)^{-1}$$

is invertible, for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough (and also at the limit $\epsilon = 0$).
The inverse has a limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0+$. Therefore LAP holds for $A + B$ at λ and $A + B$ has no eigenvector associated with λ .

LAP and evolution

Consider $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{E}^*$. Assume LAP holds for A at any point of an interval I and $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{F}, \mathcal{H})$ then

$$\int_I \|C\Im(A - \lambda - i\epsilon)^{-1}\phi\|^2 d\lambda \leq \kappa\|\phi\|^2$$

where κ does not depend on $\epsilon > 0$. By means of a Fourier transform in λ , it leads to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \|Ce^{-itA}\mathbb{1}_I(A)\phi\|^2 dt \leq \kappa\|\phi\|^2.$$

LAP equivalent characterization in the Schrödinger case

Consider the Schrödinger operator $A = -\Delta + V$, in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$, with $V \in C_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

The following properties seem related for $z_0 = 0$:

1. The equation $A\psi = z_0\psi$ has a nonzero solution from L^2 or from a certain larger space;
2. The resolvent $R(z) = (A - zI)^{-1}$ has no limit in weighted spaces as $z \rightarrow z_0$;
3. Under some arbitrarily small perturbation, an eigenvalue can bifurcate from z_0 .

In this case $z_0 = 0$ is called a threshold resonance.

Thresholds resonances \rightsquigarrow virtual levels

Let \mathbf{X} an infinite-dimensional complex Banach space.

Let $A \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X})$ a closed linear operator with dense domain $D(A)$.

Consider continuous embeddings (not necessarily dense)

$$\mathbf{E} \xhookrightarrow{\iota} \mathbf{X} \xhookrightarrow{j} \mathbf{F}.$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A)$. Then $z_0 \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A) \cap \partial\Omega$ is a *point of the essential spectrum of rank $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ relative to $(\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$* if $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is the smallest integer with

- B of rank r ;
- $\Omega \cap \sigma(A + B) \cap \mathbb{D}_\delta(z_0) = \emptyset$, $\delta > 0$;
- $\ln \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$

$$(A + B - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1} := \text{w-lim}_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{D}_\delta(z_0)} j \circ (A + B - z I_{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \circ \iota.$$

If $r > 0$, z_0 is a virtual level.

Main assumption

The operator A , considered as a mapping $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$,

$$D(A_{\mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}}) := j(D(A)), \quad A_{\mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}} : \Psi \mapsto j(Aj^{-1}(\Psi)),$$

is closable in $\mathbf{F} \rightsquigarrow$ closure $\hat{A} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{F})$.

Lemma

If LAP holds at z_0 ($r = 0$) relative to $(\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$, then the limit $(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1}$ is invertible with closed inverse α such that

$$j \circ \iota \circ \alpha = (\hat{A} - z_0 I_{\mathbf{F}}) \upharpoonright_{\text{Ran}((A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1})}.$$

Main assumption

The operator A , considered as a mapping $\mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$,

$$D(A_{\mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}}) := j(D(A)), \quad A_{\mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}} : \Psi \mapsto j(Aj^{-1}(\Psi)),$$

is closable in $\mathbf{F} \rightsquigarrow$ closure $\hat{A} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{F})$.

Lemma

If LAP holds at z_0 ($r = 0$) relative to $(\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$, then the limit $(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1}$ is invertible with closed inverse α such that

$$j \circ \iota \circ \alpha = (\hat{A} - z_0 I_{\mathbf{F}}) \upharpoonright_{\text{Ran}((A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1})}.$$

If LAP does not hold (z_0 of rank $r > 0$), does it mean that the equation $(\hat{A} - z_0)\psi = 0$ has a nontrivial solution $\psi \in \mathbf{F}$?

Some notations

Let $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{E})$ a closed operator and

$$B := \iota \circ \mathcal{B} \circ j \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{X}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{B} := j \circ \iota \circ \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{F}).$$

If $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{B}_0(D(\hat{A}), \mathbf{E})$ (\hat{A} -compact) then

$$B \in \mathcal{B}_0(D(A), \mathbf{X}) \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{B} \in \mathcal{B}_0(D(\hat{A}), \mathbf{F}).$$

Moreover, if A satisfies the main assumption then $A + B$ also satisfies the main assumption (closure is $\hat{A} + \hat{B}$).

Beside A satisfies the main assumption, assume **LAP at z_0 holds for A w.r.t. to $(\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$.**

Let $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{B}_0(D(\hat{A}), \mathbf{E})$, does LAP at z_0 holds for $A + B$ w.r.t. to $(\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$?

Equivalent characterizations of virtual levels

Theorem

The following statements are equivalent:

1. There is no nonzero solution to $(\hat{A} + \hat{B} - z_0 I_{\mathbf{F}})\Psi = 0$, $\Psi \in \text{Ran}((A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1})$;
2. $-1 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{B}(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1})$;
3. $-1 \notin \sigma_{\text{p}}((A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1} \mathcal{B})$;
4. There is $\delta > 0$ such that $\Omega \cap \mathbb{D}_{\delta}(z_0) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A + B)$, and there exists a limit in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$

$$(A + B - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1} = \text{w-lim}_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{D}_{\delta}(z_0)} j \circ (A + B - z I_{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \circ \iota;$$

5. There is $\delta > 0$ such that $\Omega \cap \mathbb{D}_{\delta}(z_0) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A + B)$, and the mapping $j \circ (A + B - z I_{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \circ \iota : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}$ is uniformly bounded in $z \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{D}_{\delta}(z_0)$.

Sketched proof

These equivalences are based on properties of Fredholm operators.

1, 2, and 3 are equivalent due to

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A} + \hat{B} - z_0 I_{\mathbf{F}} &= \left(I_{\mathbf{F}} + \mathcal{B}(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1} \right) \left(\hat{A} - z_0 I_{\mathbf{F}} \right) \\ &= \left(\hat{A} - z_0 I_{\mathbf{F}} \right) \left(I_{\mathbf{E}} + (A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1} \mathcal{B} \right)\end{aligned}$$

2 is equivalent to 4 (and 5) follows from the identity for $z \in \Omega$

$$A + B - zI_{\mathbf{X}} = (I_{\mathbf{X}} + B(A - zI_{\mathbf{X}})^{-1})(A - zI_{\mathbf{X}})$$

so that it is enough to have that $(I_{\mathbf{X}} + B(A - zI_{\mathbf{X}})^{-1})$ is invertible and the inverse has a limit as $z \rightarrow z_0$ iff $-1 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{B}(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1})$.

Relatively compact instead of finite rank perturbations

Assume z_0 is a virtual level of A (of rank $r \geq 1$) relative to $(\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$.

Definition (Relatively compact regularizing operators)

$\mathcal{Q}_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}}) :=$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} B : \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{E} \text{ is } \hat{A}\text{-compact, } \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\text{ess}}(A + B), \\ \exists \text{ w-lim}_{z \rightarrow z_0, z \in \Omega} j \circ (A + B - z I_{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \circ \iota : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Compact to finite rank operators

Lemma

For any $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})$ and the Riesz projection $P_1 \in \mathcal{B}_{00}(\mathbf{E})$ (finite rank) onto the generalized eigenspace corresponding to eigenvalue $\lambda = 1$ of the operator

$$K = \mathcal{B}(A + B - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbf{E}),$$

there is the inclusion

$$P_1 \circ \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}}).$$

Lemma

Assume that $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})$ and $\text{rank} \mathcal{B} < \infty$. For any projection $Q \in \mathcal{B}_{00}(\mathbf{F})$ onto $(A + B - z_0 I)_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1} \text{Ran}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathbf{F}$, one has:

$$\mathcal{B} \circ Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}}) \cap \mathcal{B}_{00}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{E}).$$

$\mathcal{Q}_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}(A - z_0 | \mathbf{X})$ is “dense” and “open”

Lemma

If $B \in \mathcal{Q}_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}(A - z_0 | \mathbf{X})$, then there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{D}_\epsilon \setminus \{0\}$ LAP holds at z_0 for $A + \zeta B$, relative to $(\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$.

Lemma

If $B \in \mathcal{Q}_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}(A - z_0 | \mathbf{X})$ and $\mathcal{C} : \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}$ is \hat{A} -compact with

$$\sup_{z \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{D}_\delta(z_0)} \| \mathcal{C} \circ j \circ (A + B - z | \mathbf{X})^{-1} \circ \iota \|_{\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}} < 1,$$

with some $\delta > 0$, then

$$\Omega \cap \mathbb{D}_\delta(z_0) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(A + B + C),$$

with $C := \iota \circ \mathcal{C} \circ j : \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, and LAP holds at z_0 for $A + B + C$, relative to $(\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F})$.

The space of virtual states

The space of virtual states $\mathfrak{M}_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})$ is

$$\{\Psi \in \text{Ran}((A + B - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1}), (\hat{A} - z_0 I_{\mathbf{F}})\Psi = 0\}$$

where $B \in \mathcal{Q}_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})$.

Theorem

The spaces $\text{Ran}((A + B - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}^{-1})$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})$ do not depend on the choice of $B \in \mathcal{Q}_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})$;

Let $r := \min\{\text{rank} B, B \in \mathcal{Q}_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}})\} < \infty$, one has

$$\dim \mathfrak{M}_{\Omega, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{F}}(A - z_0 I_{\mathbf{X}}) = r.$$

Schrödinger in dimension $d = 1$

The resolvent of $-\Delta$ is singular at $z_0 = 0$ as the resolvent kernel is

$$R_0(x, y; z) = \frac{e^{i|x-y|\sqrt{z}}}{2i\sqrt{z}},$$

for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$, and where $\Im\sqrt{z} > 0$.

- There is a singularity at $z \rightarrow z_0 = 0$ which is an obstruction to a LAP in this framework.
- This singularity disappears under a well chosen perturbation (next two/three slides).

Here $\mathbf{X} = \mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and for \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{F} we consider spaces of the form

$$L^p_s(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{u \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d); \langle x \rangle^s u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$$

for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Schrödinger operators with decaying potentials in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

Virtual levels and LAP estimates

Theorem

Let V be a measurable function on \mathbb{R} such that $\langle x \rangle V \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$.
There is the following dichotomy:

1. Either

$$R_V(z) = (-\Delta + V - zI)^{-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(-\Delta + V)$$

is uniformly bounded as a mapping $L^2_{s_1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2_{-s_2}(\mathbb{R})$ for some $s_1, s_2 > 1/2$, $s_1 + s_2 \geq 2$, for $z \in \mathbb{D}_\delta \setminus \overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$ with some $\delta > 0$.

2. Or there is a nontrivial solution to

$$(-\Delta + V)\Psi = 0, \quad \Psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}).$$

A remark when LAP holds

When the first alternative holds:

$$R_V(z) = (-\Delta + V - zI)^{-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(-\Delta + V)$$

is uniformly bounded as a mapping $L^2_{s_1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2_{-s_2}(\mathbb{R})$ for some $s_1, s_2 > 1/2$, $s_1 + s_2 \geq 2$, for $z \in \mathbb{D}_\delta \setminus \overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$ with some $\delta > 0$.

Then $R_V(z)$ has a limit as $z \rightarrow z_0 = 0$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\Delta + V)$

1. In the strong operator topology of $\mathcal{B}(L^2_s(\mathbb{R}), L^2_{-s'}(\mathbb{R}))$ for all pairs of s, s' such that $s, s' > 1/2$, $s + s' \geq 2$ (in the uniform operator topology if $s + s' > 2$);
2. In the weak* operator topology of $\mathcal{B}(L^1_\zeta(\mathbb{R}), L^\infty_{\zeta-1}(\mathbb{R}))$, $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$.

A condition for the LAP

Lemma

Let V be a real-valued *nonnegative* locally L^2 -integrable function on \mathbb{R} .

1. If V is not identically zero, then there is no nontrivial solution to $(-\Delta + V)\Psi = 0$ such that $\Psi \in L^2_{-1}(\mathbb{R})$.
2. If, moreover, V is compactly supported and $s' \leq 3/2$, then there is no nontrivial solution to $(-\Delta + V)\Psi = 0$ such that $\Psi \in L^2_{-s'}(\mathbb{R})$.

“General” Schrödinger operators in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

virtual levels and LAP estimates

Theorem

- Let $s_1, s_2 > 1/2$, $s_1 + s_2 \geq 2$, $s_2 \leq 3/2$,
- And assume that $W : L^2_{-s_2}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2_{s_1}(\mathbb{R})$ is Δ -compact (with Δ considered in $L^2_{-s_2}(\mathbb{R})$).

There is the following dichotomy:

1. Either $R_W(z) = (-\Delta + W - zI)^{-1}$, $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(-\Delta + W)$ is bounded as a mapping $L^2_{s_1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2_{-s_2}(\mathbb{R})$ uniformly in $z \in \mathbb{D}_\delta \setminus \overline{\mathbb{R}_+}$ with some $\delta > 0$;
2. Or there is a nontrivial solution to $(-\Delta + W)\Psi = 0$, $\Psi \in L^2_{-s_2}(\mathbb{R})$.